

MINUTES

CONSERVATION COMMISSION/ INLAND WETLANDS AGENCY WATERTOWN, CT SPECIAL MEETING

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2008
WATERTOWN HIGH SCHOOL LIBRARY

- Members Present:** Mr. Jim Zawadzki, Chairman
Mr. Donato Orsini, Vice Chairman
Mr. Joe Polletta
Mr. Ed Undercuffler
Mr. Tony DiBona
Mr. Glen Duplissie
- Members Absent:** Mr. Tom Murphy, Secretary
- Alternates Present:** Mr. Michael Brown
- Alternates Absent:** Ms. Denise Russ
- Others Present:** Mr. Moosa Rafey, Assistant Wetlands Enforcement Officer
Mr. Chuck Berger, Town Engineer

1. Call Meeting to Order

Mr. Zawadzki, Chairman, Called the Special Meeting to Order at about 7:00PM (7:04PM)

2. Roll Call

Mr. Zawadzki, Chairman, executed the Roll Call.
Mr. Brown sitting in for Mr. Murphy

3. Public Participation

None

4. New Applications

- A. Application #630 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Polk Elementary School 435 Buckingham Street, Oakville CT.

If a public hearing is not held then a decision is required by April 9, 2008.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 19, 2008**

MOTION: (Mr. Polletta, sec. Mr. Duplissie) The fee waived for application #630 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Polk Elementary School 435 Buckingham Street, Oakville CT.

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Undercuffler) That application #630 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Polk Elementary School 435 Buckingham Street, Oakville CT is not a significant activity.

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Polletta) To table and hold special meeting on February 19, 2008 application #630 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Polk Elementary School 435 Buckingham Street, Oakville CT.

Discussion:

Todd Lofresse, Assistant Superintendent Watertown Board of Education

Mr. Lofresse: We are going to be submitting modifications regarding Polk School but before they do so I'd like to thank everyone here tonight for coming out yet again volunteering another night of your time.

Joseph DiCarlo, Kaestle Boos

Mr. DiCarlo: This first application involves Polk School and as you know the initial conversations that we had with the commissions here that we were indicating that there were no more wetlands on the site. As it turns out the headwall to the brook that has been piped actually falls slightly on the property which then of course creates an upland review area. We're talking about that lower area of the site. That's where the head wall is located and the head wall is located and the brook daylight at that point. I know there is a cliché about hot off the presses but I got this information at 4:30 today so there is some if it that is sort of hand drawn on here. If you go down to that lower part of the site and I guess I should also apologize because we had half scale drawings in the great rush to get here I have full side drawings one of each. The half scale got left behind somewhere. At the lower end of the site and on this drawing the school is up above the sheet and the property line. The wetland scientist was out over the weekend and the blue area on this drawing represents the actual wetlands that he mapped. There is a much defined channel. It's the stream coming out of the head wall and flow off the site at that point. The red line indicates approximately 50n feet from the edges of the water which is the area that we'd be working in. This is the engineering drawing that represents the work that we are going to be doing as far as utility work in that area again at he bottom of the picture. For the most part as we stated before we are repairing a broken pipe in that area and will also be installing a particle separator that will intercept storm water from the various parking lots will also be falling within that upland review area. The work that we are doing will involve some excavation and utility installation but the restoration will be essentially

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

restoring it to what it is now like lawns and the parking area. In addition though with the recommendation of Mr. Berger we'll go to the down stream side of the head wall and install a plunge pool. There is nothing there right now so the brook is eroding the embankments so we'll install a rip rap plunge pool to intercept the force of the water and reduce that so erosion will be reduced. That's the extent of this application.

Mr. Zawadzki: That's the disturbance the rip rap?

Mr. DiCarlo: Correct.

Mr. Polletta: When you are talking about the head wall so of the water comes out of the building and will end up there?

Mr. DiCarlo: Correct because just about everything on site flows to that corner and the stream that was piped previously comes out that head wall.

Mr. Polletta: So basically any disturbance that you do is already a parking area there?

Mr. DiCarlo: Correct there is a parking area and a little bit of lawn and some wild growth up near the head wall itself. In order to get us in there and install the particle separator we needed to get in this area. That is the lowest point on that site and everything drains in that direction.

Mr. Undercuffler: What is the difference between this and the last time?

Mr. DiCarlo: The last time we were here we were told by the soil scientist that there were no wetlands on site and we were operating under that principal. At that point we were saying someone on staff mentioned that we were fixing a pipe that puts water into pipe that holds the stream and if that would increase the flow. When it was noted that there is a headwall right on the property and the stream has day lighted for five feet on your property therefore that creates a wetland on the property and there is a upland review area 50 feet around that. So we wanted to make to make sure everything understood we are repaving the parking lot and are doing some utility work in the upland review area.

Mr. Zawadzki: How many square feet of disturbance are you talking here?

Mr. DiCarlo: A significant part of the upland review area is going to be disturbed by this activity but it's going to be restored. It's paved now and we're going to recycle the pavement and repave it. The lawn area will get dug up so that we can put this particle separator within the lawn and restore it to the condition it's in now.

Mr. Duplissie: That will be better because they'll have a plunge pool.

Mr. DiCarlo: Correct and we'll be installing a plunge pool on the downhill side of the head wall.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Berger: Mr. Chairman there is a small amount of direct wetland impact use to that plunge pool. That is a direct wetland impact not in the upland review area.

Mr. Rafey: What is the direct wetland impact?

Mr. DiCarlo: It's about 200 square feet.

Mr. Orsini: You had a wetlands scientist go out there and now you're coming back and saying that they determined now there is wetlands. Why didn't he see this the first time?

Mr. DiCarlo: That I can't answer but I can tell you that the wetland scientist and the surveyor work directly for the town of Watertown by contract. We have a letter that said there are no wetlands on the site. Obviously he made an error.

Mr. Duplissie: Were you actually going to do the excavation in this corner originally?

Mr. Berger: The plunge pool was on the original set of plans.

Mr. Zawadzki: Well all we can do tonight is accept the application and we have to wait a two week period.

Mr. Lofresse: This is a town project for the town so we respectfully request that the application fee be waived for this.

Mr. Polletta: I'll move that the fees be waived for application #630.

Mr. Duplissie: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: Aye.

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Duplissie: This wetland was created from all of the drainage of the actual site. At one point in the past it might have been wetlands but we're not creating a new one. I move that application #630 is not a significant activity and we don't need a public hearing.

Mr. Undercuffler: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: Aye.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Zawadzki: So now we need a motion to table application # 630 and hold a special meeting February 19, 2008.

Mr. Berger: Just a little information there the 19th is critical because Planning and Zoning is on the 20th. In order for the Board of Education to meet their deadline of the 25th all these things have to fall into place. Prior to the 20th is very important in order for the Board of Education to keep their schedule

Mr. Duplissie: So moved.

Mr. Polletta: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: Aye.

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

B. Application #631 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Watertown High School 324 French Street, Watertown CT.

If a public hearing is not held then a decision is required by April 9, 2008.

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Polletta) The fee waived for application #631 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Watertown High School 324 French Street, Watertown CT.

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Polletta) That application #631 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Watertown High School 324 French Street, Watertown CT is not a significant activity.

MOTION: (Mr. Polletta, sec. Mr. Duplissie) To table and hold special meeting on February 19, 2008 application #631 of the Watertown Board of Education to conduct regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Watertown High School 324 French Street, Watertown CT.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Discussion:

Mr. Lofresse: Again I would ask that the fees be waived for this application.

Mr. Zawadzki: Do I have a motion to waive the fees for application #631.

Mr. Duplissie: So move.

Mr. Polletta: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: I

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. DiCarlo: We are now talking about the area on fire district land down near Steel Brook. As plans developed we were originally looking down the other place where you see those clouded areas for those athletic fields and because the size and extent of those wetland areas those were taken out. South of the school it was decided to expand the recreational activity and opportunities down there. This involves some filling on land that crossed over the property and onto fire district land. Obviously to collect the drainage for that we had to collect it at the bottom of the slope and we were aiming it towards Steel Brook hoping to stay out of any upland review area or water courses however the development of the design was such that we began to encroach on it and then it was decided that the best way to handle this as well as picking up a situation where there is a paved swale presently running from the old sewage treatment plant toward the direction where we are providing our new improvements and it just end and the water spills out over the road and over the ground. So what we decided is that what we would do is create a gathering pool type plunge pool up hill of the road, pipe it underneath the road over to Steel Brook and again another rip rap area for discharge directly into Steel Brook. Again over the weekend we had the wetlands mapped and the area you see in blue represents the portion of Steel Brook and the gap in the middle is the bridge that you come from Main Street over to the property and the red represents a line approximately 50 feet of upland review area. On the site you can see the darker colors are the existing sewer line and our work is to the right of that. This again is the engineering drawing and I should mention that I have the engineer Bob Barneshi here with me from WMC Consulting Engineers and you can see the heavy lines representing a significant benched slope that will be established. There will be drains in the bench slope to collect water running down the slope where we would then discharge that into a rip rap swale to that intercepting pool that I mentioned earlier that will also gather this water from the paved swale that already exists. That will be piped underneath the road to an outfall further down towards Steel Brook. Therefore we fall within the upland review area of the brook itself. We'll be at the tope of the embankment and it has a rip rap embankment.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Zawadzki: Even where the 50 foot boundary is on that map on the engineering drawing?

Mr. DiCarlo: Initially we were hoping to not even get into the upland review area with the discharge from the embankment but then it just turned out the amount of water we're gathering and that existing paved swale also dumping water in that maybe we should correct the situation as long as we're there of a significant amount of water getting concentrated to a certain area.

Mr. Zawadzki: It's not really clear to me how much disturbance is there? If you're 50 foot setback area is way down there then that benched slope you referred to has nothing to do with it.

Mr. DiCarlo: It would really be the pipe installation which that 10 foot wide trench for the 50 feet.

Mr. Zawadzki: That's what I'm trying to determine is how much disturbance are we talking about.

Mr. DiCarlo: Again the wetlands weren't mapped until over the weekend. I got the information this afternoon right after lunch and then we began plotting it and the surveyor got me his hard line data at 4:30 PM so it was difficult to tell exactly that's why it's somewhat hap-hazard and drawn in by hand. I'm guessing if we have a 10 foot wide trench to get the pipe in by the 50 foot length there is 500 Sq. Ft. There will also be a discharge rip-rap area that might be a little wider so we'd be safe to say 700 Sq. Ft of upland review area will be disturbed.

Mr. Zawadzki: Now you mentioned a collection pool so where would that be in the area?

Mr. DiCarlo: That would be not and if I had to guess I'd say without measuring it exactly the upland review area is probably over here.

Mr. Polletta: Where is the UNICO soccer field over there?

Mr. DiCarlo: It's off the map just over here.

Mr. Berger: You might want to point out the bridge crossing the brook that you take to get to UNICO field.

Mr. DiCarlo: It's right here.

Mr. Polletta: So UNICO field is right across from there.

Mr. DiCarlo: Yes.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Duplissie: It said on your application approximately 2500 Sq. ft of upland review and it also says that you're aiming for zero net increase in run-off but when I look at this drawing here where you have the terraced slope you're actually going to have more filtration into the ground. That's why we are getting the zero increase in the bottom. You're really not touching on this one not touching wetland just upland review that you're invading that 2500 Sq. Ft.

Mr. DiCarlo: Correct.

Mr. Berger: You will have some sort of plunge pool outlet structure still to be determined correct?

Mr. DiCarlo: Yes but it won't be in the wetlands it will be on the top of the embankment again in the upland review area.

Mr. Berger: It will be the top of the bank?

Mr. DiCarlo: Yes but obviously the rip rap will run down the bank. It is a rip rap embankment right now, it's stone.

Mr. Rafey: We discussed at one of the meetings to bring the pipe all the way to the brook because we don't want that deep slope. Is this possible?

Bob Barneshi, WMC Engineers

Mr. Barneshi: Steel Brook right now is currently lined with very large boulder rip rap. Putting this discharge location here wouldn't cause any harm or further erosion to that embankment. If I align that pipe with the newly mapped wetlands and you want no wetland disturbance I can pull that in the upland review area and just have that discharge over those large boulders. I don't see that being an issue because right now water pours over that anyway. But we could cut that down a little bit and if we do have some small minimal impact to the wetlands it could be 100 Sq. Ft at the most.

Mr. Zawadzki: We'd prefer if you'd stay out of it if you can.

Mr. Barneshi: That might even require another field walk to go back now that we have the actual wetlands mapped. We can see where the soil scientist actually put them in the field now and see where we are going to put it. We can both go out in the field with Mr. Berger and discuss that then.

Mr. Berger: Get that nailed down before the next meeting.

Mr. Zawadzki: We prefer staying out of the wetlands completely but 100 sq. Ft isn't much of an impact. The plunge pool rip rap is about 700 Sq. Ft you're estimating?

Mr. DiCarlo: Well we are saying the entire disturbance in the 50 foot area.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Rafey: Mr. DiCarlo can you explain why you have to cut those trees and create that benched slope? Why do those areas have to be disturbed?

Mr. DiCarlo: When we were initially trying to increase the recreational activities we were looking at slopes further down and it got too expensive and there was a significant amount of wetlands so it was decided to come back south of the school and try to expand the recreational activity there which then pushed out over the slope of the embankment in order to get 3 fields in there and there is room for 1 and a half. So we're beginning to fill down the embankment to flatten is out on top.

Mr. Undercuffler: Can you put the other picture up to show where the top of the embankment is?

Mr. DiCarlo: The existing is up here and we're pushing it out here. But because it's so steep and the building code says for every 15 feet you go down you have to have a level area so the whole thing doesn't slide down the hill. So for every 15 feet I have to bump out a minimum of 6 and I've done 8 so you have enough room to drive a truck out there if you wanted to.

Mr. Undercuffler: So where is the bottom?

Mr. DiCarlo: The new bottom will be here. The property line is the red line.

Mr. Polletta: So you will be in the fire district.

Mr. DiCarlo: We are and we have their permission to perform work on their property.

Mr. Duplissie: So it's not school property it actually belongs to the fire district.

Mr. DiCarlo: Yes it does.

Mr. Duplissie: So you're just sloping onto that property not putting anything else?

Mr. DiCarlo: Correct and the drain line. At their request we are doing a little bit of sewer work but again it's outside of any review area.

Mr. Berger: Through the planning and zoning process I'm asking them to pay particular attention to that slope because that is as Mr. DiCarlo said 15 Ft, flat spot, 15 Ft, flat spot And is a total of about 75 feet tall with a 2:1 slope. So that is a very significant fill and slope area that there are going to have to pay particular attention to during the construction process so it doesn't end up adjacent to Steel Brook.

Mr. Zawadzki: So right now it's being held together by the trees.

Mr. Berger: Yes and then they are going to fill up on top to make a flatter spot for more ball fields and then they'll steeply slope it down onto the fire district property.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. DiCarlo: The slopes are what they call benched flat spots every 15 feet and the work will be staged. The finish will have a geo-technical map over it and then slope seed mix. The bottoms of each slope at the benches will have a stone wedge with a perforated pipe to collect sub surface water to try to deter and sloughing off of soil also.

Mr. Duplissie: Typically on slopes like that we've had problems in the past. When you tree this area I think you should have a couple of silt fences in here intermediate up on the hill side and bottom silt fence hay bails and then one in the middle so that it slows it down running down the hill rather than it running straight down and passes over.

Mr. DiCarlo: As Mr. Berger insisted that we do anyways is in our specifications we require a pre-construction before any branch is cut on any tree and we all walk the site. They will flag the areas that they intend to clear. They will give us a staging plan of how they intend to phase the construction of the slope. All that will be part of the pre-construction meeting.

Mr. Duplissie: At some points you are going to have quite a few feet of depth of fill correct? So when they're filling this they are not filling from the top pushing over. They are going to go to the bottom, fill, and work their way back up the hill so that we don't end up with huge sink holes two years from now.

Mr. Rafey: Mr. DiCarlo by the next meeting can you give us an ENS Control Plan for that slope and a sequence of construction of how you will construct this and what kind of matting you will use to cover that area?

Mr. DiCarlo: We have an ENS plan now which I'll submit a copy of. I apologize for not having the smaller ones. The staging of how it's constructed is up to the city's construction manager who I believe is working on getting Mr. Berger and answer on how they are going to phase the construction of it. We will also have a testing lab on site during construction and will do compaction tests while the project is ongoing.

Mr. Rafey: If you have any information about that process please give it to me before the next meeting so that I can add it to your file and make a copy for the commission to review.

Mr. DiCarlo: Nothing is done until the environmental controls are in place and everyone is agreed with what is going to be done and agreed to the phasing plan. There will be a site walk through with anyone who wants to be a part of it and what's going to be done. Again the construction manager is on site to control exactly how it's staged and constructed with the site contractor.

Mr. Berger: I wanted to talk quickly about one other item that I am awaiting a response on and that's the drainage outlet to the north and the other drainage outlet that discharges to an intermittent water course. I believe the response was that you were going to go out and take a look at that stream channel and see if it is a stable stream channel. If there is some erosion going on there I would suggest that we go in and fix it. That should be

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

determined before the next meeting as well. That might entail some wetland and upland review area impact is there is anything that needs to be repaired.

Mr. Barneshi: We will incorporate that into the same site walk when we go visit Steel Brook.

Mr. Rafey: That could be a good mitigation plan if there is any active erosion.

Mr. Barneshi: Where we would stabilize it is directly at the outlet. I don't think we want to get into stabilizing the entire channel without looking at it first. It is similar to Polk where we want to put a plunge pool at the outlet to really slow the water down and minimize the erosion at that point. It might be another 200 Sq. ft right there but anything further beyond that we'd really have to walk the entire channel and evaluate it.

Mr. Rafey: Come up with some numbers before the next meeting of how much area.

Mr. DiCarlo: I would like to mention that the wetlands scientist was out there and again initially that area was being considered for a recreational field and we decided not to. He expressed how thick the vegetation is in there and how difficult it was for him to get in and do anything. I just want to keep that in mind that if we are going to go in with equipment we'll have to do some clearing also if we need to get in there and fix something. We'll see based on the walk through but hopefully we aren't going to have to get in there. The two wetland areas are caused by drainage discharge. The one on the left is drainage from the subdivisions over there, runs to a headwall, and is piped out. The one to the right which is smaller really takes drainage form the front of the high school and maybe the west side and again it's a head wall drain lines that discharge at that point.

Mr. Zawadzki: Any other questions? We need to decide if this is a significant activity.

Mr. Duplissie: I move that there is no significant activity for application #631.

Mr. Polletta: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: I

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Zawadzki: Ok we need to table this one also to our next scheduled special meeting on February 19, 2008.

Mr. Polletta: I move to table application #631.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Duplissie: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: I

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

5. New Business

- A. Application to amend the Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency Permit #625 to conduct additional regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Judson Elementary School 124 Hamilton Lane, Watertown, CT.

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Polletta) The fee waived for application to amend the Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency Permit #625 to conduct additional regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Judson Elementary School 124 Hamilton Lane, Watertown CT.

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Brown) to approve the application to amend the Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency Permit #625 to conduct additional regulated activities associated with the construction of additions and renovations to Judson Elementary School 124 Hamilton Lane, Watertown CT.

Discussion:

Mr. DiCarlo: As you know we appeared before you previously to request permission to do some work on the right hand side of the sheet and maintenance of the parking lot including some utility work. Part of the activity that will occur with this project is that some of the drainage that we have on other portions of the site are going to be piped through the municipal system. However as we developed a design it became apparent that the municipal system that exists is already undersized for what's there now. We are not adding we have a net zero increase but the municipal system can't handle in a major storm event what's there now. At the recommendation of Mr. Cavanaugh and Mr. Berger they suggested since we were there and we're doing construction activity perhaps now is the time to upgrade the municipal system as part of this project to handle what it should be handling. Therefore we are going to replace the storm line that runs along Hamilton Lane and crosses Hamilton Avenue and again it outfalls at a headwall in the street right of way at that point and runs to your left on this drawing. We are going to almost double the

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

size of the pipe that is there now and it again falls out of the head wall into an eroded condition, existing brook and our intent is to go into that and put a plunge pool as long as we are replacing the pipe. We have schools up off the sheet up here, Hamilton Lane, Hamilton Avenue, an existing pipe here, and then the blue is the water course. The red represents the 50 foot off set from that and for the most part we would be doing again maybe a 10 foot wide trench to get the new pipe in across the street and repaving it and then putting a plunge pool in the upper area of the wetland. That's really the extent of the activity that would be impacting.

Mr. Zawadzki: So the plunge pool is actually in the wetland.

Mr. DiCarlo: It would be in the wet area yes where the pipe discharges.

Mr. Polletta: This pipe that you are proposing to increase the size comes from an oil separator that exists already?

Mr. DiCarlo: From up here and runs down all the way across. It comes from the parking lot and there is a sediment trap also being installed as part of our project.

Mr. Polletta: Let's say that pipe gets filled up with water, who is responsible?

Mr. DiCarlo: It's the property owner that stream continues down.

Mr. Polletta: If they don't clean it and the pipe is full of water right now...

Mr. DiCarlo: It's not full but it's half full at this point because it's a much smaller pipe.

Mr. Polletta: If there is a problem now and you're going to make it bigger and the property owner doesn't clean it will back up possibly.

Mr. DiCarlo: It could back up or it could push what the blockage is down also. Obviously it would be ideal for the land owner to go in and remove this large branch which would then free up the flow of any storm water.

Mr. Polletta: So it's not a big deal then?

Mr. DiCarlo: It's not a huge tree. It's a large branch that has leaves packed against it now creating a small blockage causing the water to just seep through it. We have roughly a 10 or 15 foot wide trench by 50 feet in an upland review area and maybe a 200 square foot plunge pool area that we are going to install in the wetlands. The pipe is undersized and that may be part of the reason why water backs up onto the athletic field and in other areas. We can't prove that, but that seems to make sense.

Mr. Berger: What they are proposing is what's needed. It has to be done in order for their on site drainage to work as they designed it.

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Duplissie: What's the existing pipe size now?

Mr. DiCarlo: It is 18-inch and we are putting in a 30-inch. We're replacing a pipe so we need a 15 foot wide trench for the 50 foot upland. At the end it will be restored to its existing condition.

Mr. Rafey: Mr. Chairman on this application you can make a decision tonight.

Mr. Lofresse: I'd like the fee waived if possible. Thank You.

Mr. Duplissie: I move that the fee be waived for application #625.

Mr. Polletta: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: AYE.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Polletta: I move that application #625 be approved as amended.

Mr. Brown: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: AYE.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

6. Adjournment

MOTION: (Mr. Duplissie, sec. Mr. Polletta) To adjourn the special meeting at 7:52 PM.

Mr. Zawadzki: Do we have a motion to adjourn at 7:52 PM?

Mr. Duplissie: So Move.

Mr. Polletta: Second.

Mr. Zawadzki: All in favor?

Everyone: I

**Conservation Commission/Inland Wetland Agency
Special Meeting Minutes
February 4, 2008**

Mr. Zawadzki: Opposed?

NONE - MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

Special Meeting Adjourned at 7:52 PM

Respectfully submitted,

Jim Zawadzki, Chairman
Conservation Commission
Inland/Wetland Agency Chairman

Jennifer Guerrero, Minutes Secretary